Previous references.
Judicial file 45 of 1898

File No. 171 – 07

1907.

Serial Nos. 1 – 18

(Police)

Later references.
385-11881-3 7 June 1912
Pol 32-15 (1-3) B
Pol 32-15.
Dep no 300-25.
Pol 93-37-1-4

P-91

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1–18.

Part B. for February 1911.

SUBJECT.

Contingencies of the Tarabanahally Police Station and the recovery of 6 months of the cost of the Police force from the Govt. of Mora

---

Table of Contents

1. from the D.G. of Police no 5835 & 11.3.08.
5614 Re

Requests that the payment of one towards the contingencies of the Tarabanahally Police Station may be sanctioned till the end of the current year, due provision in the budget being made thereafter.

2. to the D.G. of Police & Comptroller No J 3014-15 & 30-3-08
directs that the charges be admitted in accounts

3. to the H.A. Resident No Pol 87 S & 24-11-08
Invites reference to this office No 6641 / J 7388 & 13.5.09
G. R. S. 58 re the contribution of the moiety of the cost of the Police Station by the Bontrah Govt.

Recommended

Requests reasons for the re-opening of the quest
& further information regarding it.

To the D.G. Police. no J. 4. 8 1.7.08.
Requests certain information in the matter

From the D.G. Police no 5288/499 & 16.3.1909
Furnishes the required information

To the D.G. of Police no J 3526 & 17-5-1909
Requests additional information & a
Proposition Statement

From the D.G. of Police no 997/110 & 7-6-1909
Submits proposition Statement called
for in the above

3. From the Comptroller no 1391 & 9.9.09.
Forwards copy of his letter to the
D.G.P. returning the proposition Statement

9. From the Comptroller no 179 & 342 & 4.10.
Forwards the letter of the D.G.P in myn
with the proposition Statement in duplicate

10- To the D.G.P. no J 1225 & 3.11.09
Calls for information on certain points

11. From the D.G. of Police no 2932/29 & 21.12.09
Furnishes the information called for

12. To the F.A. Resident no Pol 835 & 31.3.10.
States that the temporary establishment
Sanctioned in 1898 continues & that it is
necessary to maintain the station.

13- From the F.A. Resident. no 2276 & 12.4.10.
States that the maintenance of the
Police Station is incidental to any Govt.
that it does not appear to fall within the
purview of the provisions of the water supply

14. To the F.A. Resident. no Pol 931 & 2.5.10.
States that, for reasons given the Govt
is unable may be asked to pay a moiety of the cost

15. From the F.A. Resident no 45-128 & 28.7.10.
States that the claim will be conceded with certain limita

16- To the F.A. Resident no 361 & 22-10-10.
States the cost of the establishment with details

17. From the F.A. Resident no 833 & 13.2.11
Intimates that Rs 3958-9-6 has been arranged
to be placed at the disposal of the Harbour

18- To the D.C.P. & Comptroller no J 2353-4 & 17-2-11

(S. 11] 1908.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Police)

NOTES.

File. No. 171/07

FILE HEADING.

Contingencies of the Tarabanahally Station in the Bangalore Taluk.

From

The I. G. of Police in Mysore

No. 5835/566 Dated 11.3.08 Received 12.3.08

Diary No. J234/	Enclos. 1	Spare Copies...	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that the Comptroller has disallowed from June 1907 a sum of one rupee paid towards the contingent charges of the above station from the contingencies of the Police office and requests that he may be authorised to draw the sum from June 07 the end of the current official year and that the pay of the men under this petty establishment for June may be raised by Rs 12 in the next year's budget.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

7l Q

Sjud-file 45-98.

I. G. P. etc. 1586-7-J156 d/ 29.7.1898 sanctions, as a temporary measure, for six months an establishment of 8 first class constables and one Lance Naik at a cost of Rs 76 per annum

NOTES—First sheet.

GPE—30,000—20-3-06

Serial No.

to patrol the pipe line and telephone offices (near Tarabanahalli & Talahalli) of the Civil and Military Station Water works and to watch the Hesaraghatta Tank. This temporary establishment was sanctioned for affording safety during the construction of the works. As it was considered desirable to have a local Police Station for the safety of the water works even after their completion, the I. G. of Police in his letter no. 4463/379 dated the 2nd June 1899 recommended the establishment, permanently or at least for six months, of a Police Station with a staff of one Jamedar, one Daffedar and 12 Constables at a monthly cost of Rs 157. But as according to the agreement between the Secy. of State and the Durbar re water supply, half the cost of the establishment was to be paid by the British Govt., a reference on the point was made to the Residency and

714 Jud. file 45-98.

714 Jud. file 45-98.

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50 000—17-3.07

Serial No.

pending final orders in the matter the Comptroller was authorised in G.P. no 559-60-J&E of 10.7.1899 to pass the pay of the temporary establishment. The Residency asked for some further information which was, however, furnished, but nothing more appears to have been done in the matter. The temporary establishment sanctioned in the G.P. of 1898 seems therefore to continue.

7 C
Just file. 45-08

2 It is now stated that from January 1899 a sum of one rupee per mensem was being paid out of the Bangalore Dt. Police office contingencies to meet the contingent charges of the establishment in question that it is not known under what authority this was being done and that the Comptroller has taken objection to this item on the abstract contingent bill for June 1907.

3. The G. G. therefore

NOTE—Contn sheet.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 171 of 190 7-08

Serial No.

request (1) that the Comptroller may be authorised to admit the charge from June 1907 to the end of the current official year and (2) that the provision under "oil and petty stationery to Jamao" may in the next year's budget be raised by Rs 12

4. Subo. for orders.

B.G. 18-3-08. SW 18/3/08

5. Please put up draft sanctioning.

Chief 18.3.08. JMW

6. Subo. with a draft as directed.

B.G. 23-3-08. SW 23.3.08

7. 1) Draft but up submitted

8. 2) It is also a point for consideration whether the Residency may be moved as regards the apportionment of the cost of the Police Station.

Secy) 24.3.08. JMW

9. The draft may be approved. ~~Another explanation~~ The note is not clear as to what became of the correspondence with the Resr about the apportionment of the cost of the Police Stn. The matter will have to be further gone into

Note—Contn sheet.

GPB—50 000—17-3-07

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police. File No. 171 of 1907

5

Serial No.

and the Resr addressed if necessary.

2nd cr)

CBB
25/3/08

10 This question should not be lost sight of. Draft approved

HHR
26/3/08

11 Issue should take further action as now indicated in my note above

(1) Draft 26/3

CBB
26/3/08

Police for taking further action

SN/26/3/08

26.3.

12. To (Budget Section).
Branch II for note and return.

B.G.
7.4.08. SN/7/4/08

13 Noted & returned

HOB
7.4.08

To Police for further action

WR

7/4

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GIPB—50 000—17-3-07

File.

G.R.S. 13|

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

14

The accompanying file is sent to the Genl. Records for kindly furnishing the information asked for by the Secy in his note of the 25th March 1908 after looking into the form & To Registers. Any later references that may be traced may also be put up.

B. G.
7.4.08.

To The Genl. Records.

SW
7/4/08

To Branch Records for
[illegible]
11.4.08

15

The Form Registers of 1900-1901 and 1901-0 of the Judicial Branch do not contain anythi to show that a reply wa received from the Residency for this office vide no 6641 dt 13.11. J738

AS
14.4.08

14/4/08.

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 171 of 190 7-08

Serial No.

16 Subd. From the above note of the Genl. Records it is seen that no reply has been received from the Residency re the establishment of a permanent Station at Tarabuhally. May the Residency be now addressed in the matter?
B.G. 15.4.08. A draft is putup.
17 Submitted
Secy) 20.4.08
18 Submd to 2nd Or
19 Approved
24/4/08

2 Do. The D.G. of Police & the Comptroller
J3 014-15 of 29.3.08
Directs that the charges in question may be admitted till the end of the current official year, that due provision will be made in the next year's budget.

3 Do. The H.A. Resident no Pol 875 of 29.4.08
.. Invites reference to Mr. Anantaswamy Rao's letter no. 664/J738 of 13.5.1900 re the contribut

W

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50 000—17-3-07

Serial No.

of a moiety of the cost of the Police force employed for the protection of the delivery channel, pipe line etc connected with the water works of the Cttn. Station & those of the Durbar & requests a reply to that communication

4 From the F.A. Resident no. 3124 Dt 15.6.08

Stātes that as far as can be gathered from the records of his office it does not appear that the measures ~~taken~~ adopted for the protection of the water works ~~advanced beyond~~ a temporary stage and that in that event such arrangements would naturally have been discontinued on the completion of the works and their appliances; enquires on what grounds it is now proposed to revive it in its previous or in a more elaborate form; and requests that if on a full consideration of the existing conditions the Durbar consider that any protective measures are necessary full particulars of the same may be furnished to his office to enable the Resident to give further consideration to the question.

21 As already stated in the office note of 18.3.08 (page 3) the temporary establishment[X] seems to continue. Submitted for orders.

B.G. 20.6.08.

P. J-C.

[X] Please see flag "O" in the bottled file (S.Rs. 6 of 8.7.45 of 1898-99).

NOTE—Contn sheet.

Serial No.

22 A temporary establishment consisting of one Lance Nafadar and eight First Class Police Constables was sanctioned for six months by Govt in G.O dated 29th July 1898 to patrol the pipe line and telephone offices near Sarabanahally and Jalahally and to watch the Hesarghatta Bank - In 1899, the I.G.P proposed that the establishment be increased and made permanent. The Residency was addressed in the matter with a view to recover from it one half of the cost under para 3 of the memorandum of agreement dated 17th Feb 1897 but the correspondence was altogether lost sight of subsequently. In the meantime however, Govt authorized the passing of the pay of the temporary establishment pending final orders, in G.O dated 5th July 1899, and on that authority, the establishment has been continued.

Flag A Jud. file. 45.98.

Flag R Jud. file. 45.98

Flag W J.7.45-98

Flag E no reply was received

Flag O J.7.45-98.

23 The I.G.P may be asked to submit a report as to the

Note—Contn sheet.

GPB—50 000—17-3-07

Serial No.

existing conditions with full particulars as regards the details referred to in the Residency letter.

24 Please put up draft.
23-6-08. [illegible]

25 Subo. with a draft to the I.G. of Police.
B.S. 25-6-08. [illegible] 25/6/08

26 Issue
27.6.08 [illegible]

5 draft issued to the I.G. of Police no. J4 d/ 1-7-08

6 From the I.G. of Police no. 5288/499 d/ 16-3-09 states that the temporary staff of 1 Daffadar and 8 1st class Constables sanctioned in 1898 is sufficient & requests that the same may be made permanent.

27. It is apparent that the staff of one Daffadar and 8 1st class Constables temporarily sanctioned in S.P. no. 1586-7-J156 d/ 29-7-1898 still continues & it is stated that this staff would, if made permanent, be sufficient to meet the present requirements. It is not stated what the entire

7-45-08.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

cost of the establishment would be (including clothing, contingencies &c, &c). Before writing to the Residency this has to be ascertained. Subo. for orders with a draft to the I. G. of Police

B.G. 12-5-09 SW 13/5

28 Issued SH 14/5.

To The I. G. of Police in Mysore no. T3526 dt/17-5-09.

Calls for a proposition statement showing the cost of the Police Establishment of the Taluk Shalim.

From The I. G. P. no. 227/110 dt/7-9-09.

Submits the proposition statement called for in the above.

29 This communication has been despatched, by mistake, direct to this office instead of through the Comptroller. Subo with a draft W.O. to the Office concd. returning it

B.G. 11-8-09 SW 11/8

30 Issued SH 14/8/09

GPB—50 000—17-3-07

J 373

Police Office No J 478-9 16/8/09. 12

Bangalore

D/ 16th August.

Dear Sir,

31 I herewith return your office letter no. 997/110 dated the 4th August 1909, which has been despatched, by mistake direct to this Office instead of through the Comptroller.

Yours Sincerely

Asst Secy.

To
The Lakshmana Sastry Esqr B.A.
Hd. Asst. Office Asst. Commr.
D. G. P. in Mysore.

11. 09 SW
1418
SECTN 1470.

Serial No. 8

from The Comptroller no. 1391 D/ 9.9.09

forwards copy of his letter to the D.G.P. returning the proposition statement for correction.

32 Subd. The resubmission of the statement may be awaited.

K.V.I. D.G. 21.9.09 21/9

33

from The Comptroller 22/9 no. 1798-362 D/4.10.09

forwards in original letter no. 997-110 D/ 7.8.09 from the D.G. of Police forwarding the proposition statement in duplicate.

34 From the correspondence cited by the Comptroller it is apparent that there is some misapprehension about the staff required for the Taraba Station. The proposition statement shows the figures as sanctioned temporarily in G.O. no 1586-7-J156 D/ 29.7.1898 and not as recently sanctioned in G.P. no. J3381-90 Police 189-07-5 D/ 4.5.09. As the latter order has finally fixed the scale of that Station no further sanction is necessary in the matter

H.G.(Linkedfile) J454/18-98-09

7 L V

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13.5.06

Serial No.

The statement was called for from the I. G. P. in order to enable this office to furnish the Residency with information regarding the cost with a ~~view~~ View to recover a moiety from them. Subd. for orders with a draft to the I. G. P.

B. G. 14.10.09. SN 14/10/09

35 Seen 21/10/09 SH 22/10

From 15-11-09

To The I. G. P. no. J 1225 D/ 3.11.09.

Calls for information on the requisite points.

From 11 The I. G. of Police. no. 2932/287 D/ 21.12.09

Forwards a statement showing the annual cost of the establishment in question.

36 Subd. for orders with a draft to the Residency.

B. G. 31.12.09. SN 31/12/09

37 Mark revise draft as previously instructed

29/1/10. SH

38 Subd. with a draft according (For a short Summary as to how the present question arose please see notes on p. 9

B. G. 29.1.10 SN 31-1-10

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Serial No.

(and infra) Please see also Res^{t}'s letter under reply 15/6/10

3) The letter to the Res^{t} explains the facts and embodies the views of the Dy. of Police. The Res^{t} will have to give us one-half of the cost for the past 11 years calculated according to the Statement sent up by the Dy. This Statement is favourable to the Res^{t} as the actual Establishment maintained during the past was on a higher scale. As it is reported that there was a frequent change of hands drawing different rates of pay, it is not feasible to make an accurate calculation of the cost incurred. The calculation most favourable to the Res^{t} has therefore been adopted. (The difference however will be very small)

4) Draft may be approved

2/2/10.

See 1/...1)
Dewan through 1st Cr

5) May be approved
[The bill has been allowed to accumulate for 11 years]

CSB 10/2/10

2/2/10.

1039 11.2.10

Dwo 2/4/10

4495 11.2.10

43

1079 11.2.10

Police File No. 171 of 1901

Serial No. 4

E.B.
28/3/10

S.A. 28/3/10

12. "The 7. A. Resident no. Pol 835 D/31.3.10.
States that the temporary establishment sanctioned in 1898 continues with slight modification of the pay of each hand and that the maintenance of the station at Tarabanahalli is necessary.

13 From The 7. A. Resident. no. 2276 D/12.4.10
States that the maintenance of the station at Tarabanahalli would appear to be an obligation incidental to the general obligations of any Govt. to afford protection to properly situated within its territory and that the Resident anticipates that the Govt. of India would not be willing to regard the police establishment in question as such a one as referred to in the water supply agreement D/17.2.9

U.S. Subs. for orders.

B.4. 15.4.10. CV 15/4/10.

46 Minutes. It is a little hard to follow the reasoning adopted in the

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

Residency letter. Perhaps what they mean is that the State, as like any other State, is under an obligation to protect all property within its limits, the protection of the waterworks from harm falls within the purview of its ordinary duties and that consequently it can not call upon the Res[t] to contribute towards the cost of Establishments maintained for the protection of the waterworks. It was unnecessary for the Residency to have stated that because the State never denied its obligation to extend its protection to the waterworks within its limits. To admit so much however does not dispose of the present question. The question is not "whether this State is not bound to protect the water works" but "whether the Residency can not be called upon to contribute towards the cost by virtue of a special agreement." The words quoted in the Residency letter under reference from para 3 (d) of the agreement viz "Establishments in connection with the said water supply" appear to be sufficiently wide to include a

71 Z

GPB—55,900—27-4-08

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 171 of 1907

Serial No.

Police Establishment such as the one now under discussion. The Residency assign no reason for excluding a police Establishment from either of the above Category of Establishments referred to above para 3(d).

47 Even assuming that the above interpretation is correct, we may, I think, rely on another word which the Res[t] have not quoted in their letter. The opening words of this Subclause runs as follows:- "Any additional works or any Establishments or protective or sanitary measures in connection with the said water supply" &c. The word "protective" includes, I think (Even if "Establishments" does not), the maintenance of a police staff to protect the waterworks ~~Understood Express terms of the~~ therefore appears the Res[t] appear to be bound to give a fair consideration to our proposals with a view to a reasonable understanding being arrived at.

in para 3(d) -

Should we have a Police Staff

P.D.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

Malia that Charge.

48 Under the terms of the agreement the Res.t appear thus forc to be bound to give a fair consideration to our proposals with a view to a reasonable understanding being arrived at in the matter.

49 As the merits of the case, that is to say, regarding the necessity for the maintenance of the station, we have got a strong case. Please See Mr Krishna Rau's letter dated 8th Sept 08 addressed to the Dy Sp of Police and the latter's letter to the Govt dated 16th March 09.

Enclosures to Sl no. 6.

Sl no. 6

50 The Res.t Seem to think that the fact that the Police Staff at Jambu Jarabanahally may occasionally have to do work not connected with affording protection to the water works takes the case out of the class of cases contemplated in para. 3 (d) of the Agreement. But the opinion of the Police Officers as recorded in the letters first referred to is emphatic on that a station has to be maintained in the village

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 171 of 1907

Serial No.

Solely with a view to guard the ~~pipe~~ waterworks. It is ~~from~~ only on accident that the Station Staff may be called upon at times to do ~~the~~ work not Connected with the object with which the Station is being maintained. (Please See the routine of duties of the Staff Sketched in Mr Krishna Rau's letter)

1614. Stt

51 Further note.

It will not be out of place to mention here that though ~~that~~ ~~State~~ one of the primary duties of a State is to afford complete protection of property and person, the principle that parties may be called upon to pay for such protection under Special ~~Such~~ Circumstances as for instance in Connection with the maintenance of large works such as manufactories) has been recognized in Sec 19 of the Police Regn. The K. G. G. Mining Board actually makes a Contribution to Govt

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—35,000—27.4.09

S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 171 of 1907

Serial No.

Boards for maintaining Special Police Staff thro'

Mr. Cooper's papers be necessary

16/4 SB

Sec 115 The police station was first located there in consequence of disturbances which took place in connection with the Crimson Water Works & has been continued ever since. It was a mistake on the part of the Govt to have slept over the matter for nearly 10 years instead of coming to an agreement with the Resr at once.

3 The Resr might be further addressed on the lines suggested in Hirianniah's note supra.

Devan Mongia 1852 19/4

19/4

19/4

1844
20.4.10

9 The Jarabanhalli Police Stn has been made permanent

NOTE—Contra sheet.

GPB—55,000—27.4.09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Abii File No. 171 of 1907-08

12

Serial No.

in connection with the introduction of the Investigation Centre scheme. No doubt its location at Jarabanhalli was determined mainly by the fact of the protection it afforded to the C & M Station water works. But it does also the general Police work of this part of the District. It seems scarcely worth while to press the matter further after this long interval of 10 years

KBM
20/4/10

Dewan

5678
22-4-10

CSB
20/4/10

SM
21/4/10.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Police Tele no 171/07

23

Submitted to His Highness.

55 I submit for information certain correspondence with the Residency in the matter of the apportionment of the charges of the Special Police establishment maintained at Tarabanahalli for the protection of the works connected with the Water Supply to the Bangalore City and the Civil and Military Station, ending with the First Assistant Resident's letter No.2276 dated the 12th April 1910, in which the view is put forward that the maintenance of a Police Station at Tarabanahalli would appear to be an obligation incidental to the general obligations of any Government to afford protection to property situated within its territory.

disposal

56 2. It is unfortunate that the question which was originally raised in 1900 was subsequently lost sight of; and the First Councillor thinks it "scarcely worthwhile to press the matter further after this long interval of 10 years".

Vide 27 no 45499 put up.

57 3. The amount involved is about Rs.980 a year, to be shared equally between the Mysore Government and the Government of India in the future as well as

Police files 171 (2) 17-D 24

in the past; and I am of opinion that there is no reason to waive our claim. The accompanying draft of a reply to the Residency may be approved.

T Chandu Ram
23 Aug

Police file no 1714 & 7-8

25

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.



Correspondence with the Residency in the matter of the apportionment of the charges of the special Police Establishment maintained at Tarabanahalli (Bangalore Taluk) for the protection of the works connected with the Water Supply to the Bangalore City and the Civil and Military Station.

819

58

Order.

Approved

~~KRW~~

25/4/10

ccy

27 Ayw

59 Issue

KRW

28/4/10

cbb

27/4/10

28/4/10

28/4/10

13/5

Serial No.

14 To The 7-A. Resident Pol. 931-D/ 2.5.10

Observes that the general obligation of a govt. to afford protection to property situated within its territory do not dispense with the special obligation which devolves on the owner of that property to make special arrangements to guard it & that the establishment may well be considered "a protective measure" as contemplated in clause 3(d) of the agreement between the British Govt. & the Durbar & requests that the approval of the Govt. of India may be obtained to the entertainment of the establishment at a cost of about Rs 980 per annum as from the 1st Oct. 1907 & to the charges being debited to the Govt. of India & the Durbar in equal proportions.

5 From The 7-A. Resident. no. 4512-D/ 28.7.10

States that the Resident is prepared to concede that a claim appears sustainable against the Govt. of India for a share in the cost of the establishment (1) in so far as they have been employed strictly for the protection of the waterworks property common to the City and the Civil & Military Station and

Serial No.

in so far as such protection does not legitimately form part of the duties of the ordinary police of the State; that three constables out of the staff appear to be employed on duties unconnected with the Civil & Military Station; that therefore one portion of their cost is chargeable to the Govt of India; that Mr. Fraser is inclined in these circumstances to propose that the Govt of India should pay 1/3 rd of the cost of the Daffedar and 1/2 the cost of 5 constables appearing to Rs 24 a month with effect from 1.10.1898 and that if the Durbar agree to this proposal the necessary arrangements will be made for the adjustment of this expenditure.

60) Subd. for orders. Mis-understood how Rs 24 was arrived at.

B.S. 2.5.10.

61) In our 1st letter on the subject, viz in No. 2653 dated the 21st Aug: 1900, we had stated that a temporary staff of 1 Hava Daffedar on Rs 12 & 8 first class constables @ Rs. 8 had been from 1st Oct 1898 entained to keep watch at Doratanahalli and that pending a reply to the said communication the existing staff would be ordered to be continued. The matter was under correspondence

Hav. No 74 J. No 74 J-7-65 J-98-99

Polit File No. 171 of 1907-08.

ial
).

~~xxxxx~~ The Residency have ~~based their calculation on the~~ not given any definite reply till now. Hence they agree to bear the cost of the temporary staff in the proportion as noted in the margin.

SN
3/8/10

63) We may perhaps accept the arrangement.

4/8/10 Sd

V 4/8/10 2d

65) Subd. The staff consists of only of 3 first class Constables and 5 2nd class ones.
B.G.
4-8-10.

64) See). What about the other items rent, station, contingencies, cost, kit &c. Please make out a statement showing all these charges in the proportions suggested by the Resy & submit a draft

HS
8/8/10

Sd
8/8/10.

Please 8/8/10

66) It is not known how Rs 24 was arrived at by the Residency. The sum is accounted for above as follows:

- 1/3 pay of Lance Dafedar Rs 4-0-0
- 1/2 pay of 5 First class Constables Rs 20-0-0

This calculation is ~~Bhagwandas~~ will not hold good.

* 1/3 cost of the Dafedar (including clothing &c) & 1/2 cost of 5 second class Constables (including clothing &c) comes to Rs 23-15-2 nearly. To give a round figure the Residency have perhaps given Rs 24

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

But the staff in question consists of

1 Second grade Defedar

3 First class Constables

5 Second class Constables.

67) A statement showing the expenditure incurred by the Imperial Govt on account of the Tarabana Malli Station and the sum proposed to be recovered from the British Govt. calculated in accordance with the proportions supplied by the Residency is put up. The sum to be recovered on account of the Defedar is calculated at 1/3 of his cost (including his suit, table, rank &c, &c). As regards the Constables the Residency has mentioned 5 Constables & it is taken to mean the 5 Second class Constables now existing in the staff. The amount to be recoverable on this account is calculated at 1/2 the cost (including clothing &c.). The Residency is silent as regards rank & contingencies. Half the cost is, however, put down on this account. The total amount to

BPG—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

be recovered according to the above calculation comes to Rs 25-7-3 per mensem nearly or Rs 305-6-7 per annum. The following table shows the amounts originally proposed to be recovered, the amount the Resident agrees to pay and the amount now proposed to be recovered calculated according to the suggestion of the Resident

	Originally proposed to be recovered	Agreed to by the Residency	Now to be proposed
	Rs 40-13-3 7/10 per mensem	Rs 24 per mensem.	Rs 25-7-3 per mensem
	or	or	or
	Rs 489-15-8 2/5 per annum	Rs 288 per annum.	Rs 305-6-7 per annum

I have verified the calculation & found it correct SH

13) Subo. with a draft.

B.G. 25.8.10. SH

26.8.10

14) Let the clerk please come up and explain how the last calculation has been arrived at

30/8/10. SH

15) The Residency have evidently not included in their calculation the charges towards rent, Contingencies, Clothing etc— If the above are included we shall have to claim Rs 25/7/3 against Rs 24/—

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

which the Residency are prepared to concede

70) Please make a fair copy of the draft and Submit.

31/8/10.

71) Subd with a fair draft.

31.8.10

31/8/10

72) Dewan through 1st

3/9/10

3/9/10

73) Now that the Residency has admitted the justice of this claim to the extent of Rs 24 per month. it is a question whether we should press the matter of house rent and contingencies

74) The Draft I think may pass

7/9/10

9/9/10

75) Issue

7/11/10

7261

9.9.10

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

16 Draft issued to the F. A. R. no. Pol 361 dt 22-10-10.

17 From the F. A. Resident no. 838 dt 13-2-11
forwards copy of letter no. 593 dt 7-2-11 from the Asst. Commanding R. E., Bangalore, to the Examiner of Accounts, Military Works Service, Simla, requesting him to place Rs 3958-9-6 being the portion of the charges of the establishment in question from 1-10-98 to 31-3-11 at Rs 316-11-0 per annum, ~~at the disposal of the Mysore Durbar~~ at the disposal of the Mysore Durbar.

76) Subd. for orders with a Draft forwarding a copy of the letter to the Comptroller & (2) to the I. G. P. for information.

Bl 14-2-11.
(59) SN (11) 15/2/11.

77) (1) Re calculation all right.

(2) Issue draft and submit file to D^y C and D^r for sign

15/2/11 Sd

18 To The Comptroller & the I. G. P. no. T 2353-4 dt 17-2-11
Forwards for information copy

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 171 of 1907-08

53

Serial No.

of letter no. 573 D 7.2.11 from the Asst. Commanding Royal Engineer to the Examiner of Accounts, Military Works Services, Simla, requesting him to place Rs 3758-9-6, being the portion of the charges of the establishment in question from 1.10.98 to 31.3.11 at Rs 316-11-0 per annum, at the disposal of the Mysore Durbar.

19) Subd. to the 1st Councillor as directed by the Asst. Secretary.

B.G. 10.2.11
C.S. 22.2.11
SW (11)

2223
28.2.11

28/2/11

28/2/11.

Subd. to Durbar for info.

28/2/11

10318
1.3.11

B.R.
6/3

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-08

J2367

1

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE,

No. 5835/564

Dated Bangalore, 11 March 190 8

Pol 171-07-1

FROM

F. E. C. Carr Esquire,

Inspector-General of Police in Mysore.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esq., B.A.,

Offg. *Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments.*

SIR,

Reference :-

710 Government Proceedings No. 559-60/J 36 dated 10th July 1899 authorizing the Comptroller to pass the pay of the Police Establishment of the Tarabanahally station sanctioned in Govern-

710 -ment Proceedings No. 1586-7/J 156 dated 29th July 1898.

I have the honour to forward herewith copy of letter No. I. 649 dated 17th February 1908 from the Superintendent of Police, Bangalore District, stating that one rupee is being paid from the contingencies of the District Police office from January 1899 to meet the contingent expenditure of the above station and that the Comptroller has now taken objection to this item on the Abstract Contingent bill for June 1907.

As this expenditure has to be met from the head "Oil and petty stationery for Tanas" I request that the allotment under the above head may be increased by Rs 12/- in the budget estimates for 1908-09, the Comptroller being authorized meanwhile to admit the charge from June 1907 until the end of the current official year as heretofore.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

F E C Carr

NO: I. 649. Dated 17th February 1908.

From

L. Krishna Rao Fsquire,

Superintendent of Police, Bangalore District.

To

F. F. C. Carr Fsquire,

Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

Sir,

I have the honour to state that a sum of of rupee one is being paid from the contingent amount of the District Police office from January 1899, to meet the contingent charges of the Tharabanahally station, Bangalore Taluk. From the Registers and records maintained in this office it could not be made out under what authority this amount was being paid out since then. The Comptroller has now taken objection to the payment of this amount on the Abstract Contingent bill for June 1907.

I therefore request that you will be so good as to address the Government to accord retrospective sanction for the payment of rupee one per month to this station from the Contingent amount of the District Police office, as no stationery articles are being supplied to this station from the Government Stationery Depot.

I have &c.,

(Signed) L. Krishna Rao.

Superintendent of Police,

Bangalore District.

" C O P Y "

Inspector General of Police.

G.R.S. 28]

Confirmed
No 90 5780
dated 26-6-07
& 8th Dec 08

3

Police
File No. 171
Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replid to

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
( )

, *the* 190

Date of issue 30-3-08

Signed by Sir K. Seshadri Rao

READ.—

Letter no. 5835/564 dated the 11th March 1908 from the D. G. of Police in Mysore stating that one rupee is being paid from the contingencies of the Bangal

ORDER THEREON.—No.

At present Police office from January 1899 to meet the contingent expenditure of the Jarabanahally Police Station in the Bangalore Taluk and that the Comptroller has now taken over

SUBJECT

copied 28/3

objection to this item as the Medical contingent bill for June 1907 and requesting therefore that that officer may be authorised to admit the charges from June 1907 to the end of the current ~~year~~ official year and that the provision under made for oil and petty stationery for Jamas" under D.A. ~~Police~~ Police may be raised ~~to~~ by Rs 12.

Orders thereon - Q.a.f 3014-15 dt 30.3.08.
Police 1717-2

The Comptroller is requested to admit the charge in question till the end of the current official year. The necessary provision will be made in the next years budget as proposed by the I.G. of Police.

Secretary

To The I.G. of Police in Mysore
The Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

5
Police
File No. 171
Serial No. 3

G.R. 26]

Diary No. of letter replied to ———

Pol-No. 875.

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 190 .

Date of issue 29-4-05

Signed by
Mr. P. by D'Souza

To
The J. A. Resident
Sir,
I am directed ~~to~~ ~~am directed to~~
a
invite reference to
Mr. Ananthaswami Rao's
letter no. 6641/1738 dated the
13th November 1900 re the
~~the Secretariat account~~ of the
~~a permanent~~ police
employed
force for the protection
of the delivery channel,
pipe line and ~~the~~
telephone offices connected
with the water works
of the Civil & Military
Station and those of
the Durbar, ~~I am directed~~
~~to state that the same~~
over.

Contribution of a moety ~~of the~~

SUBJECT.

6

~~I am directed to acknowledge receipt~~

I am directed to request that the favour of a reply to that opinion of the Hon'ble the Resident may be communicated to this Office in the matter of Communication as soon as early as convenient.

I have &c

Secretary

24/4/08

List of enclosures

Nil

Copied by

Examined by

MGCPB—30,000—16-2-05

III 4 15/6

J 3136 Pol: 1764

D 15-6-08.

No. 3124 file no. 171 R. no. 4

FROM

F. P. RENNIE, ESQ., I. C. S.,
FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 15th June 1908.

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of Mr. Balasundaram Iyer's letter No. 875, dated the 29th April 1908, regarding the apportionment of the cost of the Police Force employed for the protection of the delivery Channel, pipe line and telephone offices connected with the water works of the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore and those of the Durbar.

2. I am to observe that it is not clear from your letter under reply whether the maintenance of the temporary Police Staff alluded to in your letter No. 2653/J.280, dated the 21st August 1908, is still continued. So far as can be gathered from the records of this office it does not appear that the measures to be adopted for the protection of the works in question ever advanced beyond a temporary stage and in that event such arrangements would naturally have been discontinued on the completion of the water works and their appliances. Assuming this to have been the case and that the water works have required no special protection since the abolition of the temporary force, I am to inquire on what grounds it is now proposed

to

to revive it either in its previous or in a more elaborate form. If, on a full consideration of the existing conditions - viz., the relation between the villagers and the water works staff, the prevalence of thefts and other offences against property and other circumstances, the present strength of the ordinary patrolling staff on the system and of the revision of the arrangements consequent on the proposed installation of the Jewell Filter Plant, the Durbar consider that any special protective measures are necessary, I am to request that full particulars of the same may be furnished to this office to enable the Resident to give further consideration to the question.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

J. Rennie

First Assistant Resident.

G.R.S. 26]

Pol File No. 171
Serial No. 5

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

No. 54

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 190 .

To

The Inspector General of
Police in Mysore.

Date of issue 1-7-08

Signed by W. Hujari Rao

FIO

FIP

Sir,

I am directed to invite
your attention to the correspond
ending with G.O. no 559-60/J3
dated the 10th July 1899 and
your office letter no. 1577/170
of the 3rd Nov. 1900 regarding
the entertainment of a permane
Police Staff for watching the
water works of the C & M Statio
and of the State and other
places connected with the above
works, and to enquire whethe
the further continuance of the
Police Force sanctioned in the

ver.

SUBJECT.

3136

G.O. ~~Read~~ referred to above is still considered necessary. If so, I am to request that a detailed report showing the necessity for its continuance may be submitted for the orders of Govt. after a careful consideration of the existing conditions, viz, the relation between the villagers and the water works staff, the prevalence of thefts and other offences against property and other circumstances, the present strength of the ordinary patrolling staff on the system and of the revision of the arrangements consequent on the installation of the Jewel Filter Plant.

I have etc

For Secretary

List of enclosures

Copied by 29/6

Examined by 30/6

27/6/06

MGCPB—30,000—16-2-05

J 2351 11
File no. 171 708
96 1906

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE,

No. 5288/499

Dated Bangalore, 16 March 1909.

FROM

D. Devaraj Urs Esquire,
Inspector-General of Police in Mysore.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esq., B.A.,
Offg. *Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,*
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

Your letter No. J. 4/Police 171-07-5 dated 1st July 1908 calling for a report regarding the necessity for the continuance of the Police staff at Tarabanahalli for watching the water works.

I have the honour to forward herewith copies of the

Letter No.	I. 252	dated	8-9-1908.	marginally noted correspondence from
Do	I.415	do	28-10-1908.	the Superintendent of Police, Banga-
Do	671	do	20-1-1909.	lore District, reporting how the

present staff of 1 Duffedar and 8 constables has been distributed. He reports that the same is necessary for the purpose of protecting the pipe line and telephone wires from damage, that the staff at the Pumping station is ~~in~~ on good terms with the villagers and that no danger is apprehended to the works from the animosity of the surrounding population.

12

As regards the state of crime within the limits of that station, 16 cases have been reported in all from 1905 to 1908 (vide statement enclosed); of these four are thefts of copper wire.

The stations at Rajankunte and Madavar are each 7 miles distant from the present station at Tarabanahalli but as Madavar is in the Nelamangla Taluk, it would be inconvenient if the villages in the limits of Tarabanahalli are transferred to that station. Furth it has been proposed that the station at Madavar should be reduced to an Outpost with 3 constables in the Investigating Centre Scheme. When that ~~it~~ is done it would not be possible for that outpost to supervise the work which at present is bieng supervised by the staff at Tarabanahalli.

The Superintendent reports that there is no necessity to provide the full establishment as originally proposed (viz - one 1st grade Jamedar, 1 Lance Duffedar , 4 first and 8 second class constabl vide letter No. 4463/379 dated 2nd June 1899) and that the present establishment has been found quite equal to the duties to be performe there assisted as they are by the watchmen of the grass farm.

Under these circumstances, I request that you will be so good as to move the Government to sanction the continuance of the present staff permanently.

1 Lance Duffedar
8 4th class Constables

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Inspector-General of Police.

No. I. 252. Dated 8th September 1908.

From

Krishna Rao Esquire,

Superintendent of Police, Bangalore Dt.

To

D. Devaraj Urs Esquire,

Inspector-General of Police in Mysore.

(thro! the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore.)

Sir,

Reference :- Your memo No. 294/30 dated 13th July 1908.

I have the honour to state that the sanctioned strength for Tarabanahalli Police Station is at present 1 Duffedar and 8 constables and they are detailed for duty as follows :-

3 constables for patrolling the pipe line to the City.

2 at Tarabanahalli for the protection of the water works and quarters and 2 for the line from the tank to Tarabanahalli. 1 constable being always present in the station. It is necessary to protect the pipe line and the telephone wires from damage and it is also very necessary that a careful watch should be kept to prevent the contamination of the water in the duct by the people of infected villages. # The operatives at Tarabanahalli also form a small colony whose safety has to be attended to. I would therefore submit that the force at present sanctioned only just sufficient to meet the demand. // I have therefore proposed in the Investigation Centre Scheme to allot one Duffedar and 8 constables to the station. As the station is an important one, I have proposed to post a 2nd class Duffedar to it. It was at first thought that the whole of the catchment area of the tank would have to be patrolled by the Police and proposals were made to increase the force as per margin. But the watchmen of the grass farm have proved a sufficient check on people intending

Recd 1 Jamedar
1 Duffedar
12 Constables

to foul the water and experience has showed that the prosecution of one or two cases is sufficient to deter the villagers from fishing in or otherwise meddling with the tank. I therefore submit that no increase in the force seems called for. As 3 Jamedars are provided for the Bangalore Taluk, the extra Jamedar proposed for Tarabanahalli in my letter No. 687 dated 4th May 1899 need not be sanctioned.

I have &c.,

(Sd) L. Krishna Rao

Superintendent of Police,

Bangalore District.

R. No. 757 dated 11-9-1908.

Forwarded

(Sd) B. J. Kumaraswami Naik.

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore Dt.

" C O P Y "

Inspector-General of Police.

15

No. I. 415. Dated 28th October 1908.

From

L. Krishna Rao Esquire,

Superintendent of Police, Bangalore District.

To

D. Devaraj Urs Esquire,

Inspector-General of Police in Mysore.

Sir,

Reference :- Your No. 1871/198 dated 23rd September 08.

I have the honour to state that the staff at the Pumping station are on good terms with the villagers and that no danger need be apprehended to the works from the animosity of the surrounding population. The villagers appear to have profited by the works, in as much as they get employment with good wages during the seasons when there is no agricultural work to keep them engaged. Most of the peons in the works are natives of surrounding villages, and the staff of the water works are reported to deal fairly with them.

As for the crime in the station limits 16 cases have been reported in all during 1905-1908 of which 4 cases are thefts of copper wire. These thefts are very hard to detect as the telephone line does not generally pass near villages. Special arrangements have had to be made for patrolling the line for preventing such petty thefts of copper wire. A statement of cases during 1907-08 is enclosed.

The jewel filter at Tarahanahalli is not yet in course of construction and from what I have been able to gather, a colony of operatives will be established near the filter. The change in the system of filters does not involve the putting up of any extensive machinery. The protection of the houses of the

16

operatives may be attended to by the Police of the Tarabanahalli station as heretofore and does not require any additional staff.

I have &c.,

(Sd) L. Krishna Rao.

Superintendent of Police,

Bangalore Dt.

" C O P Y "

Inspector-General of Police.

17

No. 671. Dated 20th January 1909.

From

L. Krishna Roa Esquire,

District Superintendent of Police, Bangalore.

To

D. Devaraj Urs Esquire,

Inspector-General of Police in Mysore.

Sir,

Reference :- Your No. 2931/297 dated 1st December 1908.

I have the honour to state that the Water Supply Department maintains two line men who go from Tarabanahalli to the Filters and on the other side to Hesaraghatta every day examining the line and reporting leakages. In addition, the overseer at Hesaraghatta also inspects the line up to the Filter beds every two or three days.

2. The Police have also two beats along the line, one to the Filters and the other to Hesaraghatta.

3. The Stations at Rajankunte and Madavar are each 7 miles distant from the present station at Tarabanahalli but as Madavar, is in the Nelamangla Taluk, it would be inconvenient to add the villages in the limits of Tarabanahalli to that station.

4. Further in the proposals for the redistribution of Investigating Centres in this District, it has been proposed that that the station at Madavar should be reduced to an outpost with 3 constables. It would therefore be difficult to provide for the work at present done by the staff at Tarabanahalli.

5. The proposals to add the villages of Tarabanahalli to Rajankunte is also impracticable as there is no direct road between Rajankunte and Tarabanahalli. I would therefore submit that the present station may be continued as it also contributes materially

to the safety of the water works for the City as well as the C. & M. station.

I have &c.,

(Sd) L. Krishna Rao.

District Superintendent of Police,

Bangalore.

" C O P Y "

Inspector-General of Police.

19

Statement showing the list of cases reported to Jarabanahalli Station during the years 1905, 1906, 1907 and 1908.

No:	Crime No.	Scene of Offence	Date of occurrence	Complainant	Accused.	Section	Property lost		Property recovered.		Remarks.
		1905									
1	4/120	Telephone line	13-6-1905	Government	Not known (traced to one Abid Khader Beig)	379 IPC	9	. .	9	. .	A Convicted.
2	67/123	— Do —	21-6-1905	— Do —	Not known (Dickson and another)	379 ...	9	. .	9	. .	— Do —
		1906.									
3	8/11	Thimmalapur	9-8-06	Fakiruddin alias Patcha	Peerasab and others	380-457 ..	70	. .	70	. .	Acquit-
4	9/12	— Do —	— Do —	Mir Gulam Husain	Khader Sheriff & others	353	-	. .	.	. .	A
		1907									
5	5/62	Basenahalli	4-11-07	Chennappiah	Thimmi and others	457-380	8	2	8	2	Acquit-
6	3/70	Dwakhanalapura	28-5-07	Narsingaiya	Thimmappa Sastri	447		. .		. .	B.
7	2/69	Dharabanahalli	9-5-07	Parvathappa	Sheik Dewan.	457-380	5	4	5	4	A
8	4/10	Solderahalli	2-9-07	Government	Not known	379	-	8	-	8	B
9	1/32	Dwakhanalapura	26-12-07	Lingiah	Do Ranganah & others	435		. .		. .	B
10	2/81	Telephone line	29-4-07	Government.	Not known.	379	8	. .			
11	1/88	— Do —	31-5-07	— Do —	— Do —	379	8	. .	-	. .	
12	7/94	— Do —	26-6-07	— Do —	— Do —	379	4	. .	-	. .	
		1908.									
1	1/35	Dharabanahalli	4-2-08	Dutta Ranganah	Chik Naga & others	379	3	. .	3	. .	B.

14	3/43	Chik Banavar	10.3.1908	Honniswami	Dhanniah & others	455-380 Rs	135	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	B.	
15	4/44	Ghanthsami	28.4.08	Ramappa	Puttappa	379	157	8	1	50	8	1	B.			
16	1/46	Hosaraghatta	6.5.08	K. A. Gardiner	Obaria	277	-	-	-	-	-	-	A Cervicle			

Bangalore.
22.10.08

(sd): L. Krishnaswamy.
Superintendent of Police.
Bangalore Dist.

Copy

Inspector-General of Police.

21
Police
File No. 71
Serial No. 7

G.R.S. 26]

2351

Diary No. of letter replied to ———

No. J 3526
8/7/5/09

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 190

Date of issue 17-5-09

Signed by Jas Hiniyamaiya

To The D. G. of Police in Dupl

His letter no. 5288/499 dated the 16th March 09 stating that the present temporary staff, if made permanent, would be sufficient for the Tarabu-balli Station.

———

With reference the above has the hono to observe that the cost of the establishment in question including contingencies, clothing, &c. &c, has not been stated and to request

OVER.

SUBJECT.

22

that a proposition state-
ment showing the above particulars
~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~
the same may be forwarded
to this office at as early
early date.

By Order

[signature]

For Secretary

B.G. [signature] 14/7/05
25-07

List of enclosures.

Copied by [signature]

Examined by [signature] 14/5

MGCPB—30,000—16-2-05

Go 1391 J667

9-9-05
file no 171-05
SC.no 8. 23

Copy forwarded to the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments for information with reference to his No.J.3526/Pol:171-07-7 dated 17th May 1909 addressed to the Inspector General of Police.

Comptroller.

24

It is requested that in future communications on the subject, the No. and date of this letter, and the distinguishing letters, below the number as given opposite, may be quoted and addressed to the Comptroller, Mysore Government.

No. 1390 BHR MD

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVERNMENT,

Dated Bangalore, the 9 September 1909.

FROM

J. S. CHAKRAVARTI, ESQ., M.A.,
F.R.A.S., etc.,
Comptroller to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

.....D. Devaraj Urs.....ESQ.,
.....Inspector General of Police in Mysore,
.....B a n g a l o r e.....

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.997 dated 7th August 1909 addressed through this office, ~~to the Secretary~~ to the .. Secretary to the Government of Mysore, General and Revenue Department, togother with the proposition statement received with the same on account of Police staff at Tarabanhally, Bangalore Taluk I have the honor to observe that neither the ... "Present" nor the "Proposed" scale shown in the statement agrees with the scale sanctioned in Government Order No.J.3381-90/Pol:189-07-5 dated 4th May 1909 which is ~~noted~~ noted in the margin for ready reference; but that the statement ... shows in both those columns the same figures ... evidently the scale which is now required by the Inspector General of Police. In these circumstances the proposition statement is returned for rectification and resubmission if necessary.

		Sanctioned scale.	
Dafadars	1	1st at Rs.14/- 2nd at Rs.16/-	
Constables	3	1st at Rs.8/- 2nd at Rs.10/- 3rd at Rs.12/-	
	5	1st at Rs.6/- 2nd at Rs.7/-	

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Sd. J.S.Chakravarti,
Comptroller.

Copy

It is requested that in future communications on the subject, the No. and date of this letter, and the distinguishing letters below the number as given opposite, may be quoted and addressed to the Comptroller, Mysore Government.

No. 1798 Enc: 6

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVERNMENT,

Dated Bangalore, the 4 October 1909.

FROM

J. S. CHAKRAVARTI, ESQ., M.A.,
F.R.A.S., etc.,
Comptroller to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

K. S. Chandrasekhara Aiyar Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue *Department.*

SIR,

In forwarding herewith in original letter No.997-110 of the 7th August 1909 from the Inspector General of Police to your address and also the proposition statement received therewith for the establishment of the Police Station at Tarabanhalli, Bangalore District, I have the honor to state that as the information furnished was incomplete and the necessity for a fresh proposition was not established, it was returned to the Inspector General. The Inspector General has now intimated in his No.1852-135 of the 25th Ultimo (herewith sent in original) that the Temporary Establishment sanctioned in Government Order 1586-7/J.156 of the 29th July 1908 has been included with slight modification in regard to the pay of each hand in the Investigation Centre Scheme recently sanctioned by Government and brought into force with effect from the Current Official year, and that the present reference is only for the formal sanction of Government.

2. Since the Establishment in question has, with slight modification of pay, been already included in the Investigation Centre Scheme and sanctioned by Government, the necessity for further proposition statement for the formal sanction does not arise

Rec.—Gl. 18]

Budget and Resource Department.

19 09

From

J. S. CHAKRAVARTI, Esq., M.A.,
F.R.A.S., etc.,
Comptroller to the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

K. V. Chandrasekhara Iyer Esq., B. A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Genl + Rev: *Depts.*

Dated

Despatched 4-10-09

Received

No. 1798 & 6
SR 342

Subject-matter.

Forwarding the proposals
Rt: received from the D. Gr. of
Police for the Estb: of the Police St:
at Jarabanhalli, Bangalore Dt.

GPB—1,000—28-6-09

scale already sanctioned by Government is not apparent. If, as it is presumed, the Inspector General of Police requires that the scale as originally sanctioned in the Government Order of the 29th July 1898 should be substituted for the one sanctioned in the Investigating Scheme, then the firancial effect of the proposition will be ar excess of Rs.36/- per annum in recurring expenditure. But as the cost of the whole scheme has been fixed, the proposition can be sanctioned only if corresponding reduction is shown elsewhere.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

JS Chakravarti

Comptroller

DCS

1909–10

FROM

The Inspector-General of Police in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
~~Gen'l Rev. Depts.~~
Financial
Through the Comptroller of Mysore

Dated 7th August 1909

Despatched

Received

General No. 997/110

No.

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE
CIVIL BRANCH
BANGALORE

Enclosure No. 2

Submitting the Proposition Statement for the Police Staff at Tarabanahally

27

No. 997/110 E-2

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE,

Dated Bangalore, 7th August *1909.*

FROM

D. Devaraj Urs Esquire,

Inspector-General of Police in Mysore.

To

K. S. Chandrasekhara Iyer Esquire, B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

(Through the Comptroller of Mysore).

SIR,

Your docket No.J.3526/Police.171-07-7 dated 17th May 1909 requesting that a proposition statement for the Police staff at Tarabinahalli may be forwarded.

****

I have the honor to forward herewith proposition statement in duplicate as called for in the above.

The above staff had been included in the re-distribution and re-allocation of the Bangalore District Police and the same has been sanctioned in Government Proceedings No.J.3381-90/Police.189-07-5 dated 4th May 1909.

7LV

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Lakshmanan

M.S. For Inspector-General of Police.

ales circulated with G. O. No. Fl. 1150—1192, dated 7-2-1903)

REVISION OF ESTABLISHMENT.

relates.. Jarabanahally police station, Bangalore Taluk.

Proposition						Grounds of Proposition	Comptroller's Report	Orders of Government		
Permanent		Temporary						Department concerned	Financial Department	
Increase per month	Decrease per month	Increase per month		Decrease per month				Remarks	Remarks	Orders
Amount	Amount	Period	Amount	Period	Amount					

8 per
num.

GPB—500—2—9-07.

Lakshmandas

Finance and Accounts Form 11

Finl. 200]

(*Vide* Art. 57, C. A. C., Vol. I, and Rule 23, Part I, Chapter II of the F

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITION FOI

Name of Office to which the Propositio

Government Order sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge										
		Present Scale						Proposed Scale				
				Pay						Pay		
No.	Date	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum	Average Cost	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum
			establishment						establishment			
		1 ✓	Zamindar sappedar	"	"	12	12 ✓	1	Zamindar sappedar			12
		8 ✓	constables 1st class			8	64 ✓	8	constables 1st class			8
						Total	76 ✓					Total
			contingencies						contingencies			
			Rent for the station	—	—	—	2		Rent for the station	—	—	—
			oil and petty stationery	—	—	—	1		oil and petty stationery	—	—	—
						Total	3					Total
					grand	Total	79				grand	To
						or	948 per annum					6

559-60 and 2.30 14-15 Police. 171-07-2

1556-7 2.156 29th July 1895, 10th July 1899 and 30th March 1908

Note—To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller in Mysore and should be followed by a reappropriation statement if the proposal involves additional expenditure.

Verified & found correct

asst. Supdt.

(Rules circulated with G. O. No. Fl. 1150—1192, dated 7-2-1903)

28

REVISION OF ESTABLISHMENT.

relates. Taravanahally police station, Mangalore Taluk.

Proposition						Grounds of Proposition	Comptroller's Report	Orders of Government		
Permanent		Temporary						Department concerned	Financial Department	
Increase per month	Decrease per month	Increase per month		Decrease per month				Remarks	Remarks	Orders
Amount	Amount	Period	Amount	Period	Amount					

1

3

79"

948 per annum.

GPB—500—2—9-07.

Talkshmandashy

Finance and Accounts Form 11

(*Vide* Art. 57, C. A. C., Vol. I, and Rule 23, Part I, Chapter II of the F

Finl. 200]

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITION FOR

Name of Office to which the Propositio

Government Order sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge									
		Present Scale						Proposed Scale			
				Pay						Pay		
No.	Date	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum	Average Cost	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum
			establishment						establishment			
4		1 ✓	Lance naikdar			12	12 ✓	1	Lance naikdar			12
		8 ✓	constables 1st class			8	64 ✓	8	constables 1st class			8
						Total	76 ✓					Total
			contingencies						contingencies			
			Rent for the station				2		Rent for the station			
			oil and petty stationery				1		oil and petty stationery			
						Total	3					Total
					Grand	Total	79				Grand	Total
						or	948					or
						per	annum					

2. The July 1894, 10 The July 1894 and 30 The November 1908.

2. 20-14-15 / Police. 171-07-2 and 559-60 / 2.136 and 15 86-7 / 2.156

Note—To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller in Mysore and should be followed by a reappropriation statement if the proposal involves additional expenditure.

Verified & found correct

No. 1852 F.5 / 185 Office of the Inspector-General of Police in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 25 September 1909.

D. Devaraj Urs Esquire,

Inspector-General of Police in Mysore.

To

J. S. Chakravarti Esquire, M.A., F.R.A.S.,

Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

Sir,

Your letter No.1390/B.R.241 dated 9th instant returning the proposition statement of the Police Staff at Tarabinahally, Bangalore Taluk.

****

I have the honor to state that one Lance Daffedar o Rs.12/- and 8 first class constables on Rs.8/- each were temporarily sanctioned for 6 months for the above station in Government Proceedings No.1586-7/J.156 dated 29th July 1898 (copy enclosed) and pending final orders the staff was allowed to continue in service as per Government Proceedings No.559-60/J.36 dated 10th July 1899 (copy enclosed).

The Secretary to Government in his letter No.J.4/Police.171-07-5 dated 1st July 1908 enquired whether the retention of the staff permanently was necessary and the subject was under correspondence and finally a proposition statement was called for in Secretary's docket No.J.3526/Police.171-07-7 dated 17-5-1909. Accordingly 1 Lance Daffedar and 8 first class constables temporarily sanctioned in Government Proceedings No.1586-7/J.156 dated 29th July 1898 are shown in the proposition statement under reference in columns "Present scale" and "Proposed scale".

Sometime after the above was sanctioned but prior to the introduction of the Investigating Centre Scheme into the Bangalore District i.e., between 1898 and 1908 owing to the exigencies of the service transfers seem to have effected resulting in the posting of one 2nd grade Daffedar, three 1st and five 2nd class constables to Tarabinahally. While submitting proposals for Investigating Centre Scheme, th District Superintendent of Police, Bangalore says that he by mistake showed the then existing staff of one 2nd grade Daffedar 3 first and 5 second class constables as the scale temporarily sanctioned.

Since the temporary staff as explained above has already been included in the Scheme and sanctioned in Government Proceedings No. 3381-90/Police.189-07-5 dated.4th May 1909, the present reference is only for the formal sanction of Government.

The enclosures received with the above are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Inspector-General of Police.

H.S.

32

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore.

Read:-

Letter No.295/30, dated the 18th July 1898, from the Inspector-General of Police in Mysore, requesting sanction for the Temporary entertainment of one Lance Daffedar on Rs12/ and 8 first Class Constables at Rs8/- each in place of those deputed to patrol the pipe line and telephone office near Turbanhalli and Jalahalli of the Civil and Military Water works and to watch the Hessarghatta Tank.

Order thereon No. 1586-7/J.156

Dated Bangalore, 29th July 1898.

Sanctioned as a temporary measure for six months, at the end of which period a further reference should be made, if necessary.

Sd/. L. Ananthasamy Rao.

For Secretary.

---

* C O P Y *

For Inspector-General of Police.

A.S.

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore.

Read again:-

Government Proceedings No.1586-7/J.156 dated the 29th July 1898, sanctioning the entertainment for a period of six months of one Daffedar and 8 Constables to patrol the pipe-line and telephone office of the Civil and Military Station Water-Works and to watch the Hessarghatta Tank.

Read:-

Letter No.4463/379 dated the 2nd June 1899, from the Inspector-General of Police, stating that though the works referred to above have been completed, the additional force is necessary for watching the tank and patroling the pipeline &c., and recommending that the force may be made either permanent with the addition of a Jamedar, at a cost of Rs157/- per mensem or ordered to be continued for a further period of six months pending the decision of Government regarding the formation of a Deputy Amildar's Range.

Order thereon No. 559-60/J.36 Dated Bangalore 10th July 1899.

Pending final orders on the Inspector-General's letter read above, the Government are pleased to authorize the Comptroller to pass the pay of the Police establishment sanctioned in G.P No.1586-7/J.156 dated the 29th July 1898.

Sd/. L, Ramanujaiengar.

For Secretary.

---

* C O P Y *

Mukkhamandas

For Inspector-General of Police.

A.S.

G.R.S. 26]

Police

File No. 171

Serial No. 07/16

Diary No. of letter replied to 891

No. J1225
Pl 171-07 16.

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Police .)

, *the* 190

Date of issue 3-11-09

Signed by Mr P. G. D'Souza.

To The I. G. of Police Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter no. 997/110 dated the 7th ... regarding re the cost of the Tarabanahalli Station I am directed to observe that there seems to be some misapprehension about the staff required for that station and in order to remove the same I am to point out that the scale of the establishment in

over.

SUBJECT.

55

question has finally been
fixed in G. P. no. J 3381-90- 7 V
Police 189-07-5 dated the
4th May 1909. What is now
required from you is informal.
as to the whole annual cost
of the establishment, as
enclosed in the above
proceedings, including
contingencies, stationery,
clothing, rent &c & I am
therefore to request you to
be so good as to furnish the particulars under each of these heads
~~them~~ at an early date
so that this office may be
enabled to move the
Residency to pay a moiety
of the cost according to
the existing agreement.

I have

For Secretary

List of enclosures.

B.G. SW
14.10.09.

Copied by

Examined by

IGCPB—30,000—16-2-05

J/5350 file no 171-07 sl no 11

19 —0

FROM

The Inspector-General of Police in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Gen. & Rev. Depts.

Dated

Despatched 21-12-09

Received

General No. 2932/289

No.

Enclosure No. 1

Forwards a statement showing the annual cost of the establishment of the Jarabanahally Post

36

No. 2932/259 E.1 OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE,
Dated Bangalore, 21st December *1909.*

FROM

D. Dev Esquire,
Inspector-General of Mysore.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esquire, B.A.,
Cffg. *Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments.*

SIR,

Adverting to your letter No. J.1225/Police.171-07-10 dated 3rd ultimo, I have the honor to forward herewith a statement showing the annual cost of the Establishment of the Tarabinahalli Police Station, Bangalore Taluk as called for.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Inspector-General of Police.

Statement showing the annual cost of the est

Particulars	clothing how issued	no: issued	Rate			c
1. Second class Daffedar			14			1
3. First class Constables			8			2
5. Second do — do			7			3
House rent for the Station.			2			2
Contingencies			1			
Khaki suit for 1 Daffedar	yearly	one and half pairs	3	4	7	
— do — 8 Constables	do	do	2	13	11	
Turban with pummah for one Daffedar	once in three years	one	1	12	0	
— do — for eight Constables	— do —	one	1	4	6	1
Sandals for one Daffedar + eight Constables	yearly	one	1	5	0	
Puttees for — do —	once in three years	one pair	0	6	0	
Capes for do —	— do — in four years	one	4	8	0	
Belt for do —	— do — in ten years	do	1	4	6	
Latta for do —	— do —	do	0	6	9	
		Total Recurring charges per annum.				

Note. The issue of ~~storage~~ - clo
years. when it is revived, the c

ablishment of the Tarabinahalli Police Station

Bangalore Taluk. 37.

		Average cost per annum			Remarks.
		168			
		288			
		420			
		24			
		12			
14	1/2	4	14	1/2	Three suits are issued in two instalments in two years
7	9	34	7	0	— do — do — do —
12	0	0	9	4	
4	0	3	6	8	
13	0	11	13	0	Note:- Metal numbers are issued once for
6	0	1	2	0	all and so it is not included in the re-
8	0	10	2	0	curring charges.
8	6	1	2	5	
12	9	0	6	1	
		979	15	4 1/2	

ing to the men has been suspended for eight
t will be duly intimated.

For Inspector-General of Police

MR

Pub 210. 171-0 /
81-210.12

3.

From

The Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Depdts.

To,

The First Assistant Resident.

Pub: No. 835/Gen 1.
D 31-3-1910

Sir,

With reference to Mr. Rennie's letter No. 3124 dated the 15th June 1909 re the apportionment of the charges of the police establishment of Tarabanahalli I am directed to state that the temporary staff of one Dafedar and 8 constables sanctioned in 1898 continued uninterruptedly with slight modifications in regard to the pay of each hand till May 1909 when, in the course of the reorganisation of the police force of the Bangalore District, the establishment as detailed in the enclosed statement was ordered to be made permanent. Though there is no reason to think that the attitude of the people of the surrounding villages is otherwise than friendly towards the water works staff the Inspector General of Police in Mysore considers that the maintenance of a Police station at Tarabanahalli is necessary for the purpose of protecting from damage the pipe line and telephone wires as the nearest police stations viz., Madavara and Rajankunte are nearly seven miles distant from the [illegible] station. He is further of opinion that the staff of one Dafedar and 8 constables referred to above (cost-
costing

3-1

costing nearly Rs.980/- per annum) would meet the requirements of this station.

As regards the state of crime in the locality I am to say that 16 cases were reported to the Tarabanahalli station during the years 1905-1908 and of these four were cases of theft of copper wire. The small number of crimes is no doubt to be attributed to the continued maintenance of the Police Station in question.

I am to request that the above facts may be brought to the notice of the Hon'ble the Resident with a view to arrange for the apportionment of the cost in accordance with the terms of the memorandum of agreement referred to in the concluding para of Mr. Anantaswami Rao's letter No. 6641/J.738 dated the 13th November 1908.

A statement showing the average annual cost of the establishment in question is herein enclosed for information.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Offg. Secretary to Govt.

B.L.

Pol No. 1601
Bgello.

No. 2276.

FROM

MAJOR W. G. GREY, I. A.,
FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 12th April 1910.

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 835 Pol., dated the 31st March 1910, regarding the apportionment of the charges of the Police Establishment at Tarabanahalli.

2. In reply, I am to state that the maintenance of a Police Station at Tarabanahalli to protect the joint property of the Mysore and Civil and Military Station Water Supply Departments (in addition doubtless to attending to the regular Police duties of the locality) would appear, now that the Water Works are permanently established, to be an obligation incidental to the general obligations of any Government to afford protection to property situated within its territory, and the Resident anticipates that the Government of India would not be willing to regard the Police Establishment in question as an " Establishment in connection with the said Water Supply, to the entertainment of which the Secretary of State and the Maharaja may hereafter mutually agree " within the meaning of

paragraph

712 paragraph 3 (d) of the water Supply Agreement dated the 17th February 1897.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

your most obedient servant,

H. G. Grey.

Major,
First Assistant Resident.

G.R.S. 26]

Police 41

File No. 17-09

Serial No. 14

29/4/10 ab

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Pol (No. 931
Ex 1.

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 190

To
The F. A. Resident

Date of issue 2-5-1910.

Signed by
Hon. B. S. Balasundaram

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 2276 of the 12th April 1910 regarding the charges of the Police Estt. at Tarabanahalli.

2. In reply, I am to observe that, as explained in this office letter No. 2653/J. 280 of 21st Aug. 1900, the Police Estt. at Tarabanahalli was originally entertained from the 1st Oct. 1898 as a "protective measure" for the patrolling of the delivery channel and pipe line of telephone officers the Cauvery waterworks as well as of the Harbor"; and it was on account of the "beneficial results" of the arrangement and "with a view to

over.

SUBJECT.

42

Extend the scope of this protective measure and render it even more efficient", that it was proposed in that letter to revise and strengthen the Estt. and make it permanent. No reply to this letter, as far as I could ascertain from the records, was received, or stated in the concluding portion of that letter, the temporary Estt. till then maintained has been continued hitherto. If the view now put forward, viz. that the maintenance of a Police Stn at Tarabanahalli "would appear to be an obligation incidental to the general obligation of any Govt. to afford protection to property situated within its territory", the Durbar could have at once considered the question of discontinuing the temporary Estt., and leaving the protection of the ~~Line Channel~~ property to the general Police Estt. of the State, or increasing the number of watchmen to guard it. But no such suggestion was made at the time; only an enquiry was made as to the extent of land that had to be

The Residency was not agreeable to the proposal contained in that letter but had communicated to the Durbar

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—10,000—23-5-08

be patrolled by the proposed Police force. (Vide Mr: Dobbs letter No: 4433/460-900 dated the 4th Septr 1900.) This information was furnished in Mr: Anantaswami Row's letter No: 6641/J. 738 dated 13th Novr 1900; but no further reply was received from the Residency until the question was again raised in this Office letter Pol No: 875 of 29th April 1908.

3. It was due to an oversight that a reply in regard to the proposal was not pressed for and obtained, and the question of continuing or abolishing the temporary establishment finally settled. But as already observed the establishment has been kept

23 Apr

kept on, and employed almost solely in connection with the protection of the waterworks, telephone lines &c, as will appear from the accompanying extract from a letter of the Supdt of Police, Bangalore, to the Inspector General of Police, No: I 252 dd 8th September 1908.

4. The general obligations of any Govt to afford protection to property situated within its territory does not dispense with the special obligation which devolves on the owner of that property to make special arrangements to guard it. It is in this view that special Military guards and Police guards are employed by Govt for State Treasuries

*) From the beginning up to .... "to meet the demand" line 14.

treasuries. In the present case the Policemen in question are only waterwork watchmen in Police clothes with the added advantage of Police Powers. It is also on this principle that Sec 19 of the Mysore Police Regln. which authorises the employment of additional Police near large works at the cost of owners of such works, is conceived.

and that special watchmen to protect field crops and domestic animals as employed by private landowners and Sahukars

5. If, as is suggested in the letter under reply, the protection of the property is only regarded as a part of the ordinary duties of the State Police, to be undertaken along with the regular police duties of the locality, it may be that Tarabanahalli is not

the

the place where the station
should be located and it
may not be possible to arrange
to have 7 Police Constables
told off to solely to patrol
the works as is now done;
and it may be necessary
to have extra watchmen
employed in connection
with the works. The Durbar
however consider that the
works require special
protection and that the
most satisfactory arrangement
for ensuring this would be
to continue the Present
Police Establishment. The
interests at stake depending on the
safety of the waterworks
both in the C & M Station
and in the City are so
large and so valuable
that it would be undesirable
to run

to run the risk of withdraw-
-ing the special protection,
and leaving the works to
the average of the general
obligations of the State to
afford protection to average
property. The proposal, the
Durbar think, may well
be regarded as "a protective
measure" in connection
with the said water supply
as contemplated in clause
3(d) of the agreement
between the British Govt
and the Durbar.

6. In these circumstances
I am to request that the
approval of the Govt of India
may be obtained to the
entertainment of the
establishment referred to
at a cost of about Rs 980/
per annum as from the
1st October 1898, and to
the

the charges being debited
the Govt of India and
Durbar in equal proportions.

I have &c

dr/.

for
23/4/10. Offg. Secy

Copies by.
Subbadeva Rao.
30/4.

Pol. 16 Cl

No. 4572. dt 28-7-10

J 293 — file no 171-07 Sl. no. 15

From

Major W. G. Grey, I. A.,
First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 28th July 1910.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of Mr. Balasundaram Iyer's letter No.931, dated the 2nd May 1910, regarding the charges of the Police Establishment at Tarabanahalli.

2. In reply, I am to state that, in the circumstances now explained, viz., that "the Police men in question are only water-works watchmen in Police clothes with the added advantage of Police powers", the Resident is prepared to concede that a claim appears sustainable against the Government of India for a share in the cost of such Police watchmen (i) in so far as they have been employed strictly for the protection of the water-works property common to the City and the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore, and (ii) in so far as such protection does not legitimately form part of the duties of the ordinary Police of the State. The sanctioned strength of the Tarabanahalli Police Station consisting of 1 Duffadar and 8 Constables is reported to be detailed for duty as follows:-

28/7/10

(a) 3

R. P. B.—6-1910.—500

(a) 3 Constables for patrolling the pipe line to the City.

(b) 2 at Tarahanahalli for protection of the Water-works and quarters.

(c) 2 for the line from the Tank to Tarabanahalli, and

(d) 1 Constable always present in the Station.

3. The three Constables referred to in (a) appear to be employed on duties unconnected with the Civil and Military Station, and no portion of their cost is therefore chargeable to the Government of India. It may be mentioned, in this connection, that the rising main of the Station, which is separate from that of the Durbar, has hitherto been guarded by a patrol of 3 men paid for by the British Authorities.

4. As regards the Duffadar and the Police Constables detailed for (b), (c) and (d) above it would seem equitable that the establishment should be paid for jointly by the Government of India and the Durbar. In consideration of all the circumstances of the case, and estimating the proportion of the services of this Police Establishment -- which is common to both the Civil and Military Station and the City, Mr. Fraser is inclined to propose that the Government of India should pay 1/3rd of the cost of the Duffadar and ½ of the cost of 5 Constables, aggregating (?) Rs.24/- a month, with effect from the 1st October 1898. If the Durbar agree to this proposal, the necessary arrangements will be made for the adjustment of this expenditure.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

W. G. Grey Major,

First Assistant Resident.

G.R.S. 26]

Fair draft

Police S i

File No. 171-07

Serial No. 16

11-10-10

Diary No. of letter replied to

Pol. No. 361

Re-1

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 190

To,

The J. A. Resident

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter no. 4512 dated the 28th July 1910 regarding the apportionment of the cost of the Jayabanahalli Police Station.

In reply I am to forward herewith a statement showing the details of calculation for the amount to be contributed annually by the Govt. of India and to state that this sum, amounting to Rs ~~25-7-3~~ 26-6-3 per mensem includes a moiety of the house rent and contingent

over.

Date of issue 22-10-10.

Signed by

Mrs. Lakshmi Narasimha Rao

SUBJECT.

charges of the station, which evidently have been omitted from calculation in arriving at the sum referred to in para 4 of the letter under reply. The Durbar considers that Quetta charges may also be fairly debited to the Govt of India. He thus views the total amount payable by the Govt of India will be Rs 26-6-3 ~~25-7-3~~ per mensem. Equivalent £ 3.16-11 per annum

I am therefore to request you will be so good as to kindly arrange for the payment by the Govt of India of the charges calculated as above with effect from the 1st October 1898.

I have &c

For Secretary

List of enclosures, one.

Copied, by

Examined by

GPB—10,000—23-5-08

Number and designation of Native Officials & Men of Sappers	Pay		Khaki Suits ✕ ₹1		Turbans ⊛		Sandals		Caps ₹1		Pu
	Rate	Annual cost	Rate	Annual cost	Rate	Annual cost	Rate	Annual cost	Rate	Annual cost	Rate
	Rs. as. p.	Rs. as. p.	Rs. as. p	Rs. as. p	Rs. as. p.	Rs. as. p.	Rs. as. p	Rs. as. p.	Rs. as. p	Rs. as. p.	Rs. as. p.
Second Class Wafebas.	14-0-0 per mensem	168-0-0	3-4-7 per pair	4-14-10½	1-12-0 each	0-9-4	1-5-0 per pair	1-5-0	4-8-0 each	1-2-0	0-6-0 per pair
First Class Constables.	8-0-0 per mensem	288-0-0	2-13-11 per pair	12-14-7½	1-4-6 each	1-4-6	1-5-0 per pair	3-15-0	4-8-0 each	3-6-0	0-6-0 per pair
Second Class Constables.	7-0-0 per mensem	420-0-0	2-13-11 per pair	21-8-4½	1-4-6 each.	2-2-2	1-5-0 per pair	6-9-0	4-8-0 each	5-10-0	0-6-0 per pair

House rent

Continued...

Station and the contribution to

unt.

Bells.		Lattes.		Total annual cost of the Establishment.	Total sum originally proposed to be recovered annually by the Durbar as per existing agreement.	Total sum to be recovered annually from the British Govt. calculated according to the supposition of the Residency	Re
Rate.	Annual Cost.	Rate.	Annual Cost.				
Rs. as. p.	Rs. as. p.	Rs. as. p.	Rs. as. p.	Rs. as. p.	Rs. as. p.	Rs. as. p.	
1-4-6 each	2-3/5	6-9 each	8 1/10	176-3-11 1/5	88-1-11 3/5	58-11-11 11/15	
1-4-6 each	6-1 4/5	6-9 each	2-3/10	310-6-8 3/5	155-3-1 4/5	239-14-7	
4-6 each	10-3	6-9 each	3-4 1/2	457-5-2	228-10-7	~~228-10-7~~	

Rate.	Annual Cost.
Rs. as. p.	Rs. as. p.
2 - - - per mensem	24 - - -
1 - - - per mensem	12 - - -

24 - - -	12 - - -	12 - - -
12 - - -	6 - - -	6 - - -
979-15-4 4/5	489-15-8 2/5	~~305-6-6 4/5~~ 316-10-6 15/15 a year or Rs ~~25.7.6~~ 26.6.3 nearly

* Three suits issued in

⊗ Turbans & pullies issue in three years.

‖ Capes issued once in

○ Bells & Lattes issued o in two years.

Note :-

The figures in the last column are based on the following proportion

(1) 1/2 cost of the dafedar

(2) 1/2 the cost of 5 ~~sepoys~~ cavalries as well as the house rent and contingen

Contd.

Letter No.593, dated the 7th February 1911, from the -- Assistant Commanding Royal Engineer, Bangalore, to the Examiner of Accounts, Military Works Services, Simla.

*****

With reference to P.W.D. Code Vol. I, Para 1317, I have the honour to request that a sum of Rs.3958-9-6 being the portion of the charges of the Police Establishment at Tarbanahalli from 1-10-98 to 31-3-1911 at Rs.316-11-0 per annum, may kindly be placed at the disposal of the Mysore Durbar and debited to this District in the usual manner.

2. A statement received from the Durbar showing how this amount has been arrived at, is attached for information.

&&&&&&&&&&&&

No. 838.

Mysore Resident's Office,
Bangalore, dated the 13th February 1911.

A copy of the foregoing letter is forwarded herewith to the Goverment of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, for information, with reference to Mr. Balasundaram Iyer's letter No.361-Pol., dated the 22nd October 1910.

First Assistant Resident.

File no. 171-01
Sl-no. 18

295

572/11

Letter no. 593 dated the
7th February 1911 from the
Asst. Commanding, Royal
Engineer, Bangalore,
to the Examiner of Accounts
Military Works Service, Simla

J 2353-4
Police 171-07-18 917.2.11.

Signed by
Asst Hiriyannaiya

Copy of the above is
forwarded (1) to the Comptroller
(2) to the I.G. of Police in
Mysore for information.

By Order

For Secretary

B.G.
57/14.2.11. SAW(1)
15/2/11

Ab.
16/2/11